



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,042	03/19/2004	Kia Silverbrook	BAL79US	8700
24011 SII VERBRO	7590 01/08/2008 OK RESEARCH PTY LTD		EXAM	INER
	393 DARLING STREET HO, TUAN V			JAN V
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA	041		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2622	
AUSTRALIA				
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/804,042	0/804,042 SILVERBROOK, KIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan V. Ho	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5 .			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this commun 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.						
 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction unare	, 0,000,011,10quii 0					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			101/4\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stag	е			
application from the International Bureau	•	.al				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/804,042

Art Unit: 2622

 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not

Application/Control Number: 10/804,042

Art Unit: 2622

patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,750,901. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

With regard to claim 1, claim 1 of Patent'901 discloses the same subject matter as of claim 1, except for the camera further comprising a card reader for reading a scene transformation requirement from a card inserted into said card reader.

Official Notice is taken for a card reader which is used to read encoded data on a card.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,042

Art Unit: 2622

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the card reader into claimed camera so that the camera is able to read a information encoded on a card because the incorporation of the card reader would allow a user to incorporate more control data into the camera and thereby to improve the quality of the printed images.

With regard to claims 3-6, claims 3-6 are obvious variants and encompassed by claim 2-5 of Patent'901.

- 4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-7365. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for

Application/Control Page 5

Number: 10/804,042

Art Unit: 2622

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TUAN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER